

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/995,534		11/27/2001	Bernhard Homey	DX01342 2027		
28008	7590	09/16/2004		EXAMINER		
DNAX RES				KEMMERER,	ELIZABETH	
901 CALIFO	ORNIA A	VENUE		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
PALO ALTO	O, CA 9	94304		1646 DATE MAILED: 09/16/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	09/995,534	HOMEY ET AL.				
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit	-			
	Elizabeth C. Kemmerer, Ph.D.	1646				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address						
THE REPLY FILED 19 July 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THI. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to average final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1 condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appea Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this applic) a timely filed amendment whic	ation. A proper reply th places the applica	y to a tion in			
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]					
a) The period for reply expires <u>4</u> months from the mailing date						
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The refee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office latified, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.77	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THI date on which the petition under 37 CFF f extension and the corresponding amou he shortened statutory period for reply of the than three months after the mailing of the than three months after the mailing of the state.	g date of the final rejection FINAL REJECTION. So R 1.136(a) and the appropertion of the fee. The approperiginally set in the final O	n. ee MPEP oriate extension oriate extension ffice action; or (2)			
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR						
2. \square The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:					
(a) they raise new issues that would require further	er consideration and/or search ((see NOTE below);				
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see Note b	pelow);					
(c) they are not deemed to place the application i issues for appeal; and/or	n better form for appeal by mate	erially reducing or sir	nplifying the			
(d) they present additional claims without cancel NOTE:	ing a corresponding number of t	finally rejected claim	s.			
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection	tion(s):					
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a s	eparate, timely filed	amendment			
5. ☑ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☑ request for application in condition for allowance because: See		idered but does NO	Γ place the			
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which were	e newly			
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we	ould be rejected is provided belo	ow or appended.				
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	Phial	OL C. Kein	ANELLI			
Claim(s) allowed:		74*				
Claim(s) objected to:	E	IZADETH KEMMENER				
Claim(s) rejected: <u>10, 13, 14, 16-26</u> .	•	PRIMARY EXAMINER				
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) app	roved or b) disapproved by	the Examiner.				
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemen	nt(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	•				
10. Other:	· / · / · / ·					
<u>-</u>						

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant argues that the specification teaches how to administer XCL1. This is not found to be persuasive because the specification does not teach how to administer XCL1 to achieve the result required by the claims, i.e., accelerated wound healing, for reasons of record. Applicant argues that the activities of XCL1 demonstrated by the Boismenu and Huang references are activities that would accelerate wound healing, since the cells chemoattracted by XCL1 would fight infection and thus accelerate wound healing. Applicant cites Lookingbill et al. as evidence that infected wounds heal more slowly. This is not found to be persuasive because the claims are not limited to treating infection or infected wounds. The literature provides evidence that XCL1 induces cell death (Hedrick et al., of record). The specification has not provided guidance regarding how to administer XCL1 such that wound healing is accelerated rather than cell death at the site of administration..